(4)
C.S. Final

SINGLE BENCH

(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 147 /2007

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

PETITIONER — Laxminarayan Patel, aged about 41 years, son of Shri Nanhuram Patel, Assistant Teacher,Government Primary School Lendhra, Distt. Raigarh, C.G. (Sl.No. 7 of Annex P-1)

RECEIVED-COPY
29-12-06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – Secretary, Department of School Education, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The District Education officer, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 7941/06
Presented by Shri D. K. Kumar
31/12/06

01 PARTICULARS ABOUT THE PETITIONER

(25)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 117 of 2007

Petitioners : Mansingh Khande and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 118 of 2007

Petitioners : Tejram Bhagat and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 121 of 2007

Petitioner : Sanjay Kumar Sinha

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 126 of 2007

Petitioner : Bhuvneshwar Prasad Pradhan

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 127 of 2007

Petitioner : Kulranjan Ekka

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 128 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Bharti Bai

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 129 of 2007

Petitioner : Philos Akshay Mukut Tigga

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 130 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Prasadi Bhagat

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 131 of 2007

Petitioner : Sagar Sai

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 133 of 2007

Petitioner : Inus Bek

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 134 of 2007

Petitioner : Baliram Bhagat

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 135 of 2007

Petitioner : Avinash Chandra Xalco

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 136 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Shashikala Bhagat

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 137 of 2007

Petitioner : Chhanduram Bhagat

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 139 of 2007

Petitioner : Ku. Clementina Tigga

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 145 of 2007

Petitioner : Krishna Ram Patel

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

(27)

W. P.(S) No. 147 of 2007

Petitioner : Laxminarayan Patel

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 149 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Maxi Miliyani Toppo

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 150 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Rajmani

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 151 of 2007

Petitioner : Chamru Ram Nagdeo

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 159 of 2007

Petitioner : Ganesh Ram Pradhan

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 161 of 2007

Petitioner : Chhotelal Khanna

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 165 of 2007

Petitioner : Ramkumar Choudhari

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

And

W. P.(S) No. 168 of 2007

Petitioner : Brijmohan Nayak

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. A.N. Bhakta, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mrs. Anju Ahuju, Deputy Government Advocate, Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer, Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 17th Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 7.11.2006 passed by this Court in W.P. (S.) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash